Duplicate Collection

Previous references

1905

(Education)

File No 277-04

Serial Nos 1, 4, 9 & 10.

Later references

383-08 (1-2)

& 22-12-(1-2)

137 of 12

" 165-24-1, 2, 5 + 7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 1, 4, 9 & 10

Part B for March 1906

SUBJECT

Contingent charges of the Office of the Inspector General of Education in Mysore

1 From the Comptr No 5241-5241 & 23 3 05 Enquires whether certain four claims made & the Dirr Dg & included among the contingent charges for the months of June & Septr 1904 may be admitted

4 To Dg of Edn No 10377 & 25 5 05 Forwards the above & requests him & ascertain & report the practice prevailing in Madras & Bombay with respect to such charges

9 From Do No 5240/314 & 14 1 06 Forwards memorandum of extra charges which he does not consider admissible under the rules

G R S 58

10 To Do & Comptr No 9137-C & 9 3 06 Returns the above memorandum & the charges already sanctioned & the Dg in the case admitted with the final case & authorizes the Dg & incur charges with the sanction of Govt under various heads of the Edn dept as per list appended & observes that does not empower him & incur extra mil or unusual contingent charges

[RS 11]

1904-05

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Education)

NOTES

File No 2770-6

File Heading

Contingent Charges of the office of the D G of Education at Mysore

From

No	Dated	Received
Diary No	Enclos	Spare Copies / Serial No

Brief Abstract of Letter

NOTES AND ORDERS

Notes—First sheet

GPL— 0000—3-1-07

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 190

Serial No

Note—Contn sheet

GPB— 0 000—13 5 08

G R S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 190

Serial No

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—50 000—14 5 08

GRS 13]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record

To Br IV

The Sct of periodicals &c received from the Edl Dept was subd to the Secy along with the accompanying Sct in question file. But it was not returned with this file as the Secy had put some queries thereon which answers for which had to be given by Br IV where the Sct was sent by Thiruvengadam Mudr

Kindly Please send the remarks made in the accompanying file (Vide - Flag T)

The Sct was never again received here either from Secy or Br. IV

Please return the accompanying papers early

Illegible
14/6/07 To Br IV

Illegible
11/6/07

KSR
11/6/07

The Statement which was sent to Thiruvengadam with the required References from this Branch has not yet been received back here. The file just up as Reference (Dis. 277-07) is required urgently.

N B —Should notes be written on small slips they must be copied or pasted on this form

MGCPB—50 0—9 1 04

In another connection Hince this
is Referred once more to Tirunelveli for
stating whether the file is with the Secy—

Vm
12/6/07

The file is not with the Secretary
To 12/6/07

K.S.R
12/6

Subt Branch V may be Requested
to have search being made & Points
up the papers

Vm
14/6/07

Secy 14.6.07

Registrar

Submitted

After submission of these papers
this is the first time that they
come back to this Branch the
Educational file which was sent
along with these papers to the
Secy has not been received
here This latter file is wanted
urgently in another connection

GRS 13]

4

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

Where the Rec^{r} has ordered that a draft order has the file up together with that file - It is respectfully submitted that the file should either be in the Custody of the Rec^{r} personal clerk, or should have been returned to Br IV - & so may be kindly ordered to be sent up for the Rec^{r} again with the papers now pending here -

Regd) BC 20 14/6/07

Let me know the result of the Search which Br V was asked to make for the missing file

3/15/08

The file has been searched in all manner of ways in Br V both but all to no purpose - The Recorder Br V will kindly see

N B -Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on the form

GPB—30 000—11 4 07

of Ed^n file no 277-04
has strayed into his
office papers.

RL
17/6/07

The file required by
me with me I have
searched my papers
RL
17/6/07

Submitted to the Registrar
The papers in question were
very carefully searched in Br V
but were not found. They re
the file of Edn Section No 277 of 04
was not subd through Br V
It was subd direct by Br IV
to the Secy with the last recd
from the Dy of Edn. Sd she be
either with the Secy's personal
Clerk or in Br IV.

This file is however wanted
in this Branch in another
connection in which the Secy has
ordered that a draft order
is to be put up
RL 26
18/6/07

Regr.

Let another careful
search be made by Inwdg & Indexing
as well as Rama Iyer of Br IV for
the file required for reference
19.6.07

Routine Notes

To be used only for notes requisitions etc., not intended for permanent record

Please return this file sent to Branch V for diary as the Legal 1st Dept
RH
21607

Herewith sent.
RH
21607

The papers are not to be found in Section V
This may be referred to the Legation Registry for search as directed by the Legn, if other Search in other Sections of the Branch, the papers cannot be found
RH
21607

To Sections I, II, III & IV for search being made for the missing file among
HH
25/6/07 I have not these papers with me
TH
25/6/07 Neither have I
RO
25/6/07 Dittō Mch
I know nothing about this file
HH
25/6/07
Dr — 26/6/07
Don 26/6/07

N B—Should routine not be written on small slips of paper, but must be copied or pasted on this form

Genl Registry No 67 of 1906-07 is linked hereto

This file was sent to Mr Turkey Legation Registry for search being effected among papers entered by Legn
RH
26/6/07

When the statement was returned with the Legn's orders I distinctly remember that the file in question was on track I do not know under what circumstances it was detached The file is to be found among the Legn papers
DD 2/6 I made all enquiries

To, B L. Narasimiah

Kindly make a further search and see if the file can be traced. The Govt Branch file is also pending disposal. I shall get a copy of the G.O from the Govt office for disposing of the Govt Branch question

VVK
3/7/07

Do complete
SJ 3/7

Please see noted

Adm
5/7/07

(I S 13)

Routine Notes

8

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

The Statement showing the periodicals to be patronized by Govt in the Education Dept Lang ~~been~~ Libry by the D of Education in Despatch ascalled for in this offices docket no Cf 1879 of 13 9 06 issued from Branch V for incorporation in a general list of periodicals to be patronized by Govt was duly taken from the file and sent to the Branch for note as to whether the sanction of Govt had been ~~obtained~~ obtained by the Inspr Genl for the purchase of ~~the Specified~~ the Nos of copies of certain books specified in the Statement (Vide note by Mr Tondaiyadan Naidu ~~note~~ of 22 / 07 as follows. or Secys order Action has been taken Separately File thus) A D O was addressed to Mr C Vasudevaya, office Asst to the Inspr Genl on the subject and in reply to the Secys note it was duly stated that the Insp

Secys order on a ~~Routine~~ note form

NB—Should the entry be written in ink the letter should be typed on the printed form

Genl was authorized by G O
No 9237 dated 9 3 06 to incur Recs no 2-07
Ed 277-04-10
expenditure within the limits
of the Budget provision under the
head "Encouragement to Authors" &c
The file only the order (277-04 Ed Rs 14,9d10
8 March '06) was put up with the
note by this Branch for reference.
The file which is not forthcoming does not appear to have
been received in this Branch nor is
the Branch aware of the order
passed by the Secy Revenue Secy's
personal clerk says that the file
was returned with the Secy's order.
Please cause a careful Search to be made
in your Branch for the missing file &
kindly let me know the result the
file is urgently required for the disposal
of a Comm^n received in the Genl Branch

2nd 5-7-07 DG

To The Supdts of Branches

I The file is not in Br I 6 9/7/07

II

III The file is not in Branch III

IV (For further Search being made)

The file in q^n was the Ed file no 277 of 04
which not come to Br V at all - Every search
was made here for the file without
any benefit The papers for which

G R S 13]

Routine Notes

To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

D 7150

The missing file is regd for reference have been submitted for orders with a copy of the order put up by Br IV

Post the missing file may be required by Br II to which the papers have been referred according to orders in Spencer

RL 8/9
12/7/07

Order
5/7/17

Subd for orders of the Registrar whether copies of all Communications relating to the file may be obtained from the Officers concerned and a new collection formed for future reference Before doing so enquiries may be made in the offices of the Commissioner of Police Demain

13/7/07

5/7/17

× (According to the entry in the File Regr)

× (Should not be written until the letter has been typed and the page finished)

FB— 10—1 4 7

G R S 13]

Routine Notes

11

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended fo permanent re ord]

Sir,

Please see the accompany correspondence and have a search made among the papers Lists to the 2nd Counsellor, for the missing file in question (Ed 2770 Sls 1, 4, 9 & 10 B March '06)

13/7/07

To

The Personal Asst to the 2nd Counsellor

There was no occasion for the Educational file to pass thro' my hands

19/7/07

B Lev
20/7/07

To the Cash

The papers containing the list of periodicals subscribed for by Govt has been taken back by the Cash Lee 1907

19/7/07 19/7/07

To the Personal asst to the 2nd Counsellor for compliance

20/7/07

The file is not with the 2nd Counsellor

20/7/07

22/7

My dear Mr Lakshmanarao

Will you kindly have a search made for the missing file Edn 277-04 B March 06 among the papers in the Dewan's office?

BRao Sd
24-7-07

Do

B V Lakshmanarao Esqr
Supdt, Dewan's office

RD
24.7.07

No such file has been received here

B V Lakshmanarao
24 7 07

B Ler Sd 25/7

Subt to the Registrar

All efforts to trace the file having proved futile, it is proposed to make a new collection of Edn file no 277-04, Sls 1, 4, 9 & 10 B march 06. Copies of the papers relating to the file & of the mobble requisite from the officers concerned, with reference to the entries in the diary, the File Regr & Dft Regr to be submitted for orders

BRao Sd
25 7 07

All right
27/7/07

BLer Sd 29/7/07
to the Registrar

Submitted, with 2 drafts ~~to the Registrar~~ →
(1) to the Comptroller requesting for a copy of his letter no 5261/841 dt 23 3 05 forming Sl no 1 of the file
(2) to the D G of Education requesting copies of
(a) Revs office docket no 10777/Ed 277-04-4 dt 25/5/05 (Sl no 4)
& (b) his letter no 5290/314 dt 14/11/06 in reply to the above (Sl 9)

BRao (Cl) 30/7/07
copies of nos 9237 dt 9 3 06 (Sl 10)

GRS 13]

1.3

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

The file was submitted to the 1st Councellor on 24-4-05 & 11-5-05 with Draft No 6466 and to the Dewan's office on 6-2-06 with Draft No 5922

The Personal Asst to the 1st Councellor & the Asst of the Dewan's office will be requested to see copies of orders, if available, passed by the Councellor & the Dewan respectively

Done
30/7/07

31/7/07

Draft to given for issue
31/7/07

Please see the foregoing note and kindly let me have copies of orders passed by the 1st Councellor - file No 277-04, the same having been submitted to him with Draft No 6466 (1) on 24-4-05 & (2) on 11-5-05

31/7/07

N B—Should other notes be written on all the numbered pages on this form

To

Mr J Govinda Rao
Personal Asst to the
1st Councellor

P T O

CPB—40,000—11.4.06

Rep dear mr Lakshmanarao

Will you kindly have a search
made for the missing file Edn 277-04 B marched 06
among the papers in the Dewan's office ?

BVN 24-7-07

Do

B V Lakshmanarao Esqr
Supdt, Dewan's office

BD 24-7-07

No such file has been
received here

B V Lakshmanarao
24 7 07

B Len 25/7

Subt to the Registrar

All efforts to trace the file having
proved futile, it is proposed to make a new
collection of Edn file no 277-04, Sls 1, 4, 9 & 10
B march 06. Copies of the papers relating to the
~~the~~ file & of the mobble ~~prefixed~~ requisite from the officers
Consulted, with reference to the entries in the diary, the File Regr & the Off Regr to
be submitted for orders

BVN 25/7/07

All right
27/7/07

BVN 30/7/07

to the Registrar

Submitted, with 2 drafts ~~to the Registrar~~
(1) to the Comptroller requesting for a copy of his letter
no 5261/841 dt 23 3 05 forming Sl no 1 of the file
(2) to the D G of Education requesting copies of
(a) Revs office docket no 10777/Ed 277-04-4 dt 25/5/05 (Sl no 4)
& (b) his letter no 5290/316 dt 14/11/06 in reply to the above
(Sl 9) (Sl 10)
BVN 30/7/07 copy of ord no 9237 dt 9 3 06

C R S 13]

1.3

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

The file was slipped to the 1st Counsellor on 24-4-05 & 11-5-05 with Dy no 6466 and to the Dewan's office on 6-2-06 with Dy no 5922

The Personal Asst to the 1st Counsellor & the Despatch of the Dewan's office will be requested to send copies of orders, if wireable, passed by the Counsellor & the Dewan respectively

Done 30/7/07

JB 31/7/07

Draft to given for issue

31/7/07

Please see the foregoing notes & kindly let me have copies of orders passed by the 1st Counsellor in file No 277-04, the same having been submitted to him with Dy no 6466 (1) on 24-4-05 & (2) on 11-5-05

31/7/07

N B—Should out e notes b written n all l tle n t m t be oped l p ted n th s f m

To

Mr J Gavinda Rao
Personal Asst to the
1st Counsellor

P. T. O

CPB—40 000—11 4 06

Regret no means of proving
the orders passed by the Ex-Asst Cr
M V C Sreenivasa Iyengar as the
registers maintained by his staff
were not taken charge of by me

All the registers
maintained there
were left in the
room occupied
by me & Gopinada
Rao - in March 1906
& May 1906

WR
3-8-07

Hd
3/8/07

OB Ler
31/8/07

W Raghavachar clerk who was working
when the Ex-Counsellor may be asked to send
copies of the Counsellor's notes with prefd
to the Regrs then kept by him

OBrn 31
280

To
Mr Gopinada Rao
Kindly have a refce made to the
Registers left in your room & copies
of Counsellor's notes prepared & sent

OBrn 31
380

I have since come
to know that these registers
registers were stocked on
the top of a shelf in the am.
making arrangements to send
them down to the General records
for custody there
Coming to

the subject of this note
Ex- Asst Comptroller Mr
C Greenacre regular wrote
as follows on the 27th
April 05

A general authority
has been given them
in regard to certain
items of expenditure
to be incurred from
the State Trust Budget
It is difficult for me
to see why a similar
authority should not be
given to them in the
matter of like purchases
from the Village Schools
Trust Budget His
Contention that be-
cause power was
given

from them in the
one case, therefore the
Existence of a similar
power in the other
must be presumed
cannot of course be
admitted The order
of the Supreme Court may
now be Expressly
held to apply to
Village School Funds
also, as otherwise, there
would be much un-
necessary & profit-
less Correspondence
In regard to items not
Covered by the order
above referred to, the

Deduction

sanction of Govt
should not have been
anticipated or deemed
unnecessary. The
special sanction
proposed may be
conveyed with the
draft revised as
above"

I/Sof C S
27-4-05

to Dewan

=

Again on the
15th May 05, the Ex Instr
is noted as follows

"Secy

I doubt there
any

on the revised draft as ordered by Dewan

and Curtailment
or withdrawal of powers
has extended instead
of the Extension items
of the Kind in question
seem to come under
"Contract Contingent
Charges" At any
rate, the Inspector
General seems to have
long exercised the
power, even before
the date of the order
of 1900 Govt
sanction in every
case to necessitate
much additional
correspondence without

any

19

Corresponding advantage makes the British practice preferable. I would prefer the Madras and Bombay Educational Codes or get me the copy from the Inspector General of Education

12/7 CS
15-5-05

5th August

To the Supdt, Govt IV

B 2 ct
8/8/8

[S 13]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

Sir,

Kindly see the foregoing notes and oblige me with copies of orders passed by the Secretary in the case in question

Thanking you
6 8 07

Yours
[illegible]

To
The Superintendent
Dewan's office

RD 6/8/07

All the Fron. To" Registers maintained in my the years 1901-2-3-1906, (up to end of March) have been sent to the General Records for custody — A reference may kindly be made to the Record department —

BV Lakshmanan
6 8 07

To the Genl Records for Compliance

[illegible]
7 8 07

GPB—10 000—11-10

The Regrs were appointed but the agreement has to be executed

Letter no 1627 of 9 8 07 from the 1079
I Gr. C. G. Education forwarding certain
Comm.rs relating to file no 277-04 and
Ed
requests return of the same when done with

The Typing Section may be requested
to have copies of the enclosures to the
above letter typed so as to enable
a duplicate collection of the missing
file formed as directed by the Registrar

Ber
12 8 07

Supt Typ. Sec for favour of
compliance
SJ 12/8

Subd While Regr 16 pages of
typed matter have to be retyped
hms 12/8 It is not possible to give
typing Section to attend
to this work, as it is already
overworked. Moreover it has to
attend to his ~~Secret~~ work of
the Sgl: Section now

SJ 12-8

Subd

The typing Section may be asked
to do the work at leisure especially as
the only two hands in the Education Section
are fully ~~employed~~ engaged with current work and also typed copies
Ber for the duplicate collection to be formed of the missing file would be
12 8 07 better readable than manuscript copies
The work is not of an urgent nature & may be taken up when the
SJ 12/8 typing section can command time

OS Let
SJ 12/8

The enclosures may be
copied on the typewriter
SJ 12. 8

Copies of Enclosures have been made
may now be compared
Ber
24 8 07
Compd

GRS 13]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

Copies of the Communications relating to the Nursing file ~~have~~ been duly compared have been put up The correspondence may now be referred to See V for forming a duplicate collection embodying in the notes to be prepared in the Secretary any points which may be deemed necessary or were considered while issuing the final order of Govt on the subject, the duplicate collection being thus made self contained for future reference.

24.8.07 rad for the medical 24/8/07

I t e n tes be w tten n mall
. tle n t u t b c l o lh
I t d on th i

GPB—30 000—11 4 06

[illegible]

30/11/09

The originals rec^d^ from [illegible] have
been returned (Order 10312 of 19.6.09)
The copies made in this office
have been deposited

Edn Secs 11-07

GRS 261

File No
Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

No 1157
Edn
27 8 07

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 190

To The Comptroller

Date of issue 7 8 07

Signed by Secretary

Has the honour to forward herewith the Comptroller's Cash Book for the month of July 1907 and to request that the Comptroller will be so good as to forward at an early date a copy of his letter No 5241/841 dated the 23rd March 1905 regarding and directing the Office's practice in regard to certain Charges incurred by the Inspector General of ~~Schools~~ Education and included in the detailed Carriage Bills of his Office may be admitted under the authority of G O No 600-1/Ed 32 of the 14th July 00 and whether this order may be considered

over

SUBJECT

applicable to the grants under the Village School Fund also

By Order

31/7/07

For Secretary

2 drafts

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16 2 05

3

GRS 26]

Reply Read

File No
Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to ———

No 1158
Edn
8/7 & 07

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 190

To The Inspector General of Education Rangoon

Date of issue 7 & 07

Signed by [illegible]

Has the honour to forward the Inspr Genl to be so good as to forward for reference at an early date a copy of each of the marginally noted Communications, as the office file Ed 277-04 Contains the same in original is not readily available in the records of this office

By Order

31/7/07

for Secretary

over

1/ Mer dorkhast No 10777 Ed 277-04-4 dy 25 5 05 requesting Govt to report the practice prevailing in regard to Bombay in the matter of exchanging Chargeo [illegible]

2 Insps Genls letter no 5290 dy 16 7 07 January '08 in reply to the above

3 Govt Proceedings no 9237 Ed 277-04-10 dy 3 06 passing final orders on the above Communication

SUBJECT

Rejected
Clerk
3/8

31/5/8

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30 000—16 2 05

Lib 81]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,

No. 1127 Enclos 15 yelambigone of 7th day of 1907 159
42 (copies)

From

H. J. [illegible] Esq. Inl,
Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

C. S. Balasundara Ayyangar Esq BA,
Offg Secretary to the Govt of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Secretariat Department
Bangalore.

Sir

With reference to your docket
No. 1158/1907 dated 7th August-1907, I
have the honour to forward the mar-
ginally noted communications asked for
in your docket, and to request that
they may be sent back, returned when
done with.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
H.G. Bhabha
Inspector General

(i) Your Secy's No. 1158/1907 dated 7-8-1907 (in Tamil) enclosing a letter
No. 5241/S41 of 23-3-1905 from the Director of Education to Mysore.

(ii) Letter No. 5290/314 of 14/4/1906 from the Director of Education to Mysore.

(iii) Govt Order No. 9237-8/Rd (277-04)-10 of 9/3/1906.

1039 E1
12

5

Office of the Comptroller, Mysore Government

Dated Bangalore the 21-8-1907

D 1446

From

[illegible] Esq., M.A.,
I.C.S., Bar-at-Law,
Comptroller, Mysore Government

To

C. S. Balakrishna Iyengar, Esq., B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

As directed in your No. 1157 dated 7th instant, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of this office letter No. 5041/841 dated 23rd March 1905.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Comptroller

T G S

— — ———— - Department.

190

From

Rai N G Basu Bahadur, M A,
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

— — — — — Esq
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
— — — ——— Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No ———

Subject Matter

Edl 277-04

SC 1/841

o 5241-841

Office of the Comptroller, 6

Mysore Government,

Bangalore Dated 23rd August 1904

From

The Comptroller of Mysore,

Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

I have the honour to state that, among others the charges on account of the marginally noted articles, having appeared in the Detail Contingent bills of the Office of the Inspector General of Education for the months of June & September 1904, this office declined to admit them without the special sanction of Government, in accordance with Government Order No 3306-40/Fl 291 dated 27-9-97 The Inspector General of Education quotes G O No 600-1/Fd 32 dated 14-7-'00 as his authority The articles themselves do not come under the category of ordinary or usual contingent articles of the department, and the authority quoted by the Inspector General does not appear, to this office to sanction the incurring of such charges on his own responsibility

That Government order empowers the Inspector General of Education to incur "Charges of the kind under advertance" within the limits of the Budget provision without the special sanction of Government, and recognises the items noted in the margin of the preamble to it as contingent charges The Almirah purchased for Rs 100/- is for the use of the Oriental Library and is debitable to the grant under that head

for

Article	Amount	Name
1 Typewriting	Rs 218-2-"	Allowance (Typewriting Fund) – June 1904
2 Almirahs	" 180 —"	Do — —
3 Stationery & Furniture	" 500 —"	Contingent Fund (Government Library & Museum) Sept'04
4 3 Stenographers	" 12 —"	Do — —
5 1 Almirah	" 100 —"	Do — Oriental Library — Do — —

Purchase of Furniture This head not having been specified in the Government Order quoted, the Inspector General is not empowered to make purchases against the grant under it without the sanction of Government under their Order No 3306-40/F1 291 dated 27-9-97 The amount of Rs 500/- paid to the Agent to Messrs Underwood & Sons , is stated to be the 1st instalment of a series and the incurring of a charge which throws a liability on the Government to discharge it in a series of years, is open to very serious objections on principle, and I fail to conceive Stereoscopes as falling under the category of "Ordinary or usual contingencies" which alone the Inspector General can purchase without the special sanction of Government The other articles purchased by him, are stated to be met out of the grant under "Village School Fund" Besides being doubtful whether G O 600-1 of 14th July 1900 applies also to the grants under Village School Fund, the articles themselves do not partake of the nature of contingencies, and much less of these of a usual nature And generally, the particular articles purchasable out of and debitable to the grants noted in the Government Order, not having been specified in it, it enables the Education Department to purchase even articles of an unusual or extraordinary nature, and to evade the rules of Contingent Audit simply by bringing them under the grants against which the Inspector General is empowered to incur expenditure without the sanction of Government, so long as the Budget grant under it is not exceeded

I therefore beg to be informed if the particulars purchases now reported may be admitted by this office on the authority of the Government order quoted by the Inspector General of Education and whether the Government Order may be considered applicable to the grants under Village School Fund also

I have &c ,

(Signed) N C Basu,

Comptroller

"Cop "

Asstt to Comptroller

Ed 277-04 3
SC4

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Department

To

The Inspector General of Education in Mysore

No. 10777/Edu(277-04)-4, dated 25th May 1905

Comp'd 4/24/1857

In forwarding herewith copy of letter No. 5241—8241 of the 23d March 1905, from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, stating that certain articles charged for in the contingent bills of the office of the Inspector General of Education for the months of June and September 1904 cannot be admitted on his own responsibility without the previous sanction of Government, has the honour to request the Inspector General to be good enough to

ascertain

ascertain and report the practice prevailing in Madras and Bombay and whether under the Educational Codes the heads of Education Departments of those Presidencies are empowered to sanction contingent charges within budget limits, without reference to Government in any case, and if not, in what cases sanction of Government is sought and what items are generally treated as recognized contingent charges, which these officers have power to sanction within budget limits without the sanction of Government. It is requested that copies of the Education Codes may also be sent for reference.

By Order

(Signed) H Ramanya

for Secretary

Ed 277-04
8e9

Edn. S1]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE

No. 3303
-11

Bangalore 14th January 1908 190

From

H J BHABHA Esq M A,

Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

S Y Venkataveradiengar Esquire

[illegible]

SIR

With reference to your indent No 1777/ (277 of)-1 dated 1[illegible] I have to inform you that on account of [illegible] and from [illegible] the University [illegible] Sections of Colleges and for attending sittings of the Senate as well as on account of the time required for ascertaining the sanctioned Bombay and Madras rules and to be continuent expenditure on which the Educational Budget Estimate has been prepared earlier than your indent quoted above. The question of the correct head of the Education Department in which to debit contingent expenditure has been raised on two previous occasions and settled and lately the Accountant General has deemed it necessary to raise it again for the third time.

Shortly after I was appointed Secretary in 1890 I was asked by the Accountant General to find out the powers of the Director of Public Instruction in this regard. This I did and it was ascertained that the first two of Rs 10 each sanctioned in all contingent charges

charges within budget limits himself As Head of the Department I have enjoyed all the powers generally of a Director of Public Instruction in a British Province since 1890

3 In 1900 the question of my powers in regard to contract contingent charges which are defined (in Civil Account Code Vol I Chap 3 article 74) as charges " for which a lump sum is allotted annually within which the officer may incur expenditure as required without further sanction of any kind" was raised again by the Comptroller in his letter No 3466/6616 dated 1st June 1900 addressed to Government. Government have passed on this reference explicit orders (No.300-1/ dtd dated Bangalore 11th July 1900) A copy of this Order I enclose herewith In the preamble of that Order the different allotments for contingent expenditure are quoted except the provision for the Education Library and Museum for Books &c. and the sub-heads Furniture and apparatus under VII Oriental Library These omissions are apparently clerical errors The order itself however is comprehensive enough and includes even these omissions The order distinctly states that " Charges of this kind under inadvertence can be incurred by the Inspector General of Education within the limits of the Budget provision without the special sanction of Government "

4 Even such an explicit order does not satisfy the Comptroller and he takes objection to certain items of expenditure incurred by me in June and September 1904 The whole question of contingent expenditure appears to be misconceived by the Comptroller The heads of contingent expenditure enumerated in the Order of 11 July 1900 are not only "ordinary or usual contingencies" as the Comptroller terms them but are also "Contract contingent charges" as defined in Chapter VI sec 74 Civil Account Code vol I As the provision under each head is that of "contract contingent charges" and Government have expressly defined my powers with regard to them which are in conformity with the sanctioned practice of the department for many years past I submit that the objections raised by the Comptroller savour of hypercriticism. If any further proof of the views of Government on the subject be necessary, I would refer you to para 2 of Order No 2 21-66/1908

II - Village School Fund

III - General

(1) Furniture and apparatus

(2) Books and Maps

(3) Prizes

(4) Noble School Contingencies

III - Local Funds General

Industrial and other Special Schools

I Industrial School Mysore

(1) Machinery and tools

(2) Stock Materials

(3) Sheds &c

(4) Wages of temporary establishment

(5) Office and school furniture

(6) Prizes

(7) Library

II - Other Industrial Schools

(1) Initial cost

(2) Net profits

III - Mohalla Urdu School Fund

Provis

(1) Library and Furniture

(2) Prizes

Incl other General

dated [illegible] August 1933 which is still [illegible] [illegible] provision of Rs 3000 [illegible] furniture and Apparatus in the State fund [illegible] general [illegible] authority to make such distribution [illegible] the different institution [illegible] total [illegible] administration [illegible]

[illegible] Rs 1000 [illegible] 1931 [illegible] Oriental Library and say that it [illegible] the purchase of furniture not under Oriental Library [illegible] (7) [illegible] furniture [illegible] Oriental Library

[illegible] 7th dated [illegible] August 1931 [illegible] Library [illegible] already [illegible] (a) Pictures [illegible] Oriental [illegible]

... and Sons sold at the moderate price of Rs 1000 ... They form a recognised part of the [illegible] for teaching History and Geography and many of the schools in Europe and America are [illegible] with them. Individual Colleges in India like the [illegible] College and the Deccan College in the Bombay Presidency have bought the complete set. I purchased a set and placed it in the Educational Library as the most economical way of providing stereographs for the Colleges and 14 High schools of Mysore. The annual provision for the [Educational] Library ... Rs 1000 ... as Messrs Underwood and Sons accepted payment ... annual instalments of Rs ... [I was] able to purchase the set without asking for an additional grant. [Stereographs] are obviously a part of [illegible] for the Educational Library and Museum and yet ... [illegible] to conceive ... as failing under ... of ordinary or usual contingencies."

7 ... Controller ... [illegible] ... at a cost of Rs 1 ... [illegible] ... to understand ... the Educational Department ... [illegible] ... purchase of stereographs ... [illegible] ... and ... warranted

or ... a particular article ... [illegible] ... Government Order not having been specifically ... the Education Department to purchase such articles of an unusual or extraordinary nature ... [illegible] ... contingent audit ... [illegible] ...

... empowered to incur expenditure without the sanction of ... [illegible] ... I already shown that ... are not "articles of an unusual or extraordinary nature". ... the charge that I ... the rules of contingent audit is unfounded and gratuitous ... that I am ... of trying "to evade the rules of contingent audit" ... expenditure ... [illegible]

I I ...

...

the further sanction of Government " the contingent charges referred to being those incurred in purchasing books articles of school furniture apparatus chemicals gymnastic apparatus &c He replies that he has the power I have already stated in para 2 the Director of Public Instruction Madras has also the same power

11 It should be understood that most of the primary schools in Bombay and Madras are either Local Fund or Municipal Schools which are under the management of boards and therefore no contingent expenditure connected with them is provided in the Educational Budget In Bombay the Government maintains chiefly the Colleges High Schools Secondary Schools and a few Girls' Schools In Madras the maintained system is more widely extended than in Bombay and there are comparatively fewer institutions maintained by Government Provision therefore for the contingent expenditure of the Government Institutions is made in the budget estimates under each institution as for furniture grants for prizes library books furniture &c for all the departmental schools are necessary as in every case where the lowest vernacular school is a Government institution as in nearly all institutions of various grades with only a few exceptions are maintained by Government It is not therefore useful or instructive to compare the practice in Bombay with that of Madras with most of the

12 In conclusion I may state that the Government of India will be glad to make it quite clear with reference to Government Order No 3000-A/1885 of 14 July 1885 that in regard to the contingent charges of which a list is annexed the Director General has the power to incur expenditure within the limits of the Budget provision without the additional sanction of Government

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

Ed 277-04
SC 10

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

15

Read

Letter no 5241/841 dated the 23d March 1905 from the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore, stating that in accordance with Govt Order no 3306-40 Fl 291 dated the 27th September 1897, he declined to pass the charges on account of the marginally noted articles which had been included in the Contingent bills of the Office of the Inspector General of Education for the months of September 1904 but that the Inspector General of Education quotes Govt order no 600-1 Ed 32 of the 14th June 1900 as his authority for purchasing them and requesting to be informed whether the charges may be admitted though in his opinion the Govt order quoted by the Inspector General is not a sufficient authority for him to incur such charges with-

Article	No as per	Debit head	number
(1) 1 Cyclostyle	218-2	Kilagu Schools	June 04
(2) 10 Almanacs	180	do	do
(3) 1 Stenographic [illegible] 14th instalment	500	State Jain Educational Exhibition Library of Mysore	1904
(4) 3 Stereoscopes	12	do	do
(5) 1 Almanac	100	do	do
		Oriental Library	

written to the Sanction of Government

2 Subsequent Correspondence ending with letter from no 5290/314 dated the 14th January 1906 from the Inspector General of Education in regard on the subject.

Order thereon No 9237-8 Ed(277-04)-10, Dated, Bangalore 9th March 1906

The above correspondence relates to the powers of the Inspector General of Education as regards Contract Contingent Charges for which Lump Sum provisions are made in the Budgets Sanctioned by Government Govt order no 600-1 Ed 32 dated the 14th July 1900 empowers the Inspector General to incur on his own authority expenditure within the limits of the Budget provisions under certain specified items in the Education State Fund Budgets only It cannot therefore be taken as a sufficient authority for incurring charges under other items in the same Budgets or under any item in the Village School Fund budgets The purchase of Stereographs at a cost of Rs 1500/ to be paid in annual instalments of 500 ought not to have

been made without the previous sanction of Government as Article 98(2) of the Civil Accounts Code clearly lays down that no charge which binds Government beyond a single payment can be incurred without such sanction In the present case the Charges already incurred by the Inspector General in 1904 will be admitted as a Special case

2 The Government however consider that the Inspector General should have the same power in regard to the utilization of all grants placed at his disposal irrespective of the Budgets to which they relate and they are accordingly pleased to authorize him to incur charges within the sanctioned provision under the various heads of the Education Budgets mentioned in the list appended hereto This however does not empower the Inspector General to incur extraordinary or unusual Contingent Charges and in cases of doubt

the Sanction of Government should
shortly be obtained

Sd/ H Ramaya

For Secretary

To
The Inspector General of Education
in report

Comptroller.

Contingent Items of Expenditure of the Education Department

State Fund 18 C (3) (1) Government colleges and High Schools

(11) Library and Prizes in Colleges and High Schools

(2) Excursion charges of Geological students

II The Maharam's College

Library and Prizes
Reference Books
Books for poor girls

18 D

(4) Government Schools — Special.

(1) Normal schools
Library Grants
Agricultural Farm
Fodder
Seeds, manure, etc

18-4 (6) Book Department

(1) Encouragement of authors

(2) Books and Maps

(3) School journal.

PTO

18 G (1) Oriental Library and Search for Sanskrit manuscripts

(1) Section writing

(2) Sanskrit literature and Sanskrit and Kannada manuscripts

(3) Printing paper

(4) Purchase and repair of furniture

18 J (10) Miscellaneous

(1) Furniture and Apparatus and Chemicals

(2) Books and contingent charges of the Educational Library and Museum as stated in GO Cir No 78 dated 21st August 1891.

(3) Prizes and special grants for Government Taluk Schools for boys and girls.

II Village School Fund.

III General

(1) Furniture and Apparatus

(2) Books and Maps

(3) Prizes

(4) Noble School Contingencies

III Local Funds General.

Industrial and other Special schools.

I Industrial School Mysore

(1) Machinery and tools
(2) Stock materials
(3) Sheds etc
(4) Wages of temporary establishment
(5) Office and School Furniture
(6) Prizes.
(7) Library.

II Other Industrial Schools

(1) Initial cost
(2) Materials

III Engineering School Mysore

(1) Library and Reference books
(2) Prizes

(Sd) H J Bhabha
Inspector General

Contingent items of expenditure
of the Education Department

State Fund. 18 C (3) (1) — Government Colleges
and High Schools

(1) Library and Prizes in Colleges
and High Schools

(2) Excursion charges of Geological
Students.

II The Maharani's College

Library and Prizes
Reference Books
Books for poor girls

18 D

(4) Government schools — special
(1) Normal Schools
Library grants
Agricultural Farm
Fodder
Seeds, Manures, etc

P T O

18 F (6) Book Department.

(1) Encouragement of Authors

(2) Books and Maps

(3) School Journals

18 G (7) Oriental Library and search for Sanskrit manuscripts

(1) Section Writing

(2) Sanskrit Literature, and Sanskrit and Kannada manuscripts

(3) Printing paper

(4) Purchase and repair of Furniture

18 J (10) Miscellaneous

(1) Furniture and Apparatus and Chemicals.

(2) Books and contingent charges of the Educational Library and Museum — as stated in G.O. C No. 78 dated 21st August 1891

(3) Prizes and special grants for Government Taluq Schools for boys and girls

II — Village School Fund

III — General

(1) Furniture and apparatus

(2) Books and Maps

(3) Prizes

(4) Noble School Contingencies

III — Local Funds General

Industrial and other Special Schools

I Industrial School Mysore

(1) Machinery and tools

(2) Stock materials

(3) Sheds etc

(4) Wages of temporary establishment

(5) Office and School furniture

(6) Prizes

(7) Library

II — Other Industrial schools

(1) Initial cost

PTO

(2) Materials

III ~~Engineering~~ Engineering School Mysore.

(1) Library and reference books.

(2) Prizes

(Sd) ~~H J Khatkar~~

Inspector General

G.R.S. 20]

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to 10496 07

No 10312
Educn
dy 19-11-09

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 190

To

The I G of Education
in Mysore

Date of issue 19.11.09

Signed by 19.11 MrlC&R

Has the honour to return herewith the marginally noted Communications received with his letter 1127/92 of the 9th Aug 07

By Order

For Secretary

R

Here copy the memorandum of the Inspectress Girls' Schools

over

SUBJECT

Copied
17/11/09

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10 000—23 5-08